



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

MAILING DATE
ļ

Charles J. Metz l Johnson & Johnson Plaza New Brunswick, NJ 08933

1 6 007 1993

. х тн	CHANGES BELOW CANNOT BE INCLUDED IN THE CERTIFICATE SINCE THE REQUEST WAS FILED UNDER RULE 322.
1. X	column 3 line $1-8$ Column 40 , line $59-66$ is printed in accordance with the record.
	(a) The change referred to was initialed and dated by applicant before execution of the application papers.
2.	In column, line, the error resulted from applicant's failure to comply with Rule 121(a), in that the precise point of entry of the amendment was omitted.
3.	In column, line, the alleged error is due to applicant's failure to comply with Rule 121(b), wherein provision is made for use of <u>brackets</u> , instead of parentheses, to cancel subject matter and for the use of <u>interlineations</u> to indicate new subject matter.
4.	Omission of the priority data from the patent resulted from applicant's failure to fully comply with 35 U.S.C. 119, in that:
	(a) The priority data was omitted from the oath, or declaration.
	(b) The claim for priority was not included in the application papers.
	(C) The certified copy of the foreign application was not filed.
5.	The assignment data is printed in the patent in accordance with PTO 76-13b, submitted by applicant at time of payment of the base issue fee, as follows:
6.	In column, line, the error arose because Rule 52(b) was not complied with. Consequently, words on top of certain pages were obliterated where those pages were placed in the file jacket, causing the Office to provide what appeared to be the proper words.
3. 🔲 - 1	HE REQUEST HAS BEEN CHANGED AS SHOWN BELOW TO COMPLY WITH THE RECORD:
1.	Since it is not normally the practice of the Office to reprint figures of the drawings, the following narrative description of Fig(s) as suggested by the examiner, will be included:

2.	The error complained of in columnwhere the changes will be made.	, line	,occurredin column	, line,			
3.	The assignment will be shown in accordance v	with the Assignme	ent Division records which read a	s follows:			
	·		: A				
4.	The change requested in		has been modified bÿ				
		,-					
THE !	FOLLOWING CORRECTION(S) CANNOT BE INCLUDE	DED IN THE CERT	FICATE FOR THE REASONS GIVE	N BELOW:			
1.	The word, found in the printed patent.	purported to be in	column, line	, cannot be			
2.	The alleged error in column style of the Invention Patent Manual.	, line , i	is an editing change made in acco	ordance with the			
3.	In column, line, to be in accordance with the permissible amendon	he alleged error i	s in fact a change made by the exin M P F P. 1302.04.	caminer and considered to			
4.	In the title, it is the practice to exclude word			the printed patent.			
5.	Comparison of the patent in column	, line	, with the corresponding lo	cation in the application			
6.	The records of the Assignment Division indic records at the time of issuance.	The records of the Assignment Division indicate that the patent has been printed in accordance with the assignment					
7.	The numbering of the claims in the printed pare examiner as described in M.P.E.P. 608.01(n).	tent is in accorda	nce with the renumbering of depe	ndent claims by the			
8.	The alleged error in columnallowance. Since no error is involved and sin the requested change will not be included in	nce applicant file	is a change made in an Examiner' d no objection prior to payment of	s Amendment at time of the base issue fee,			
9.	The error complained of in column reports the following:	, line	cannot be corrected since th	e Group Director			
٠							
		•					
ОТН	HER						
$\int \left(\frac{1}{2s} \right)^{s}$	Supervisor, Certificates of Correction Branch						
1/1/	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						

This decision is rendered pursuant to authority delegated by the Solicitor under authority delegated to him by the Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks.